OCT 25 2006

## KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP

FAX DEPARTMENT: (212) 715-9191 SENDER'S FAX NUMBER: 212-715-8000

FROM:

LESLIE NGUYEN

DATE:

**OCTOBER 25, 2006** 

PHONE:

212-715-9334

PLEASE DELIVER AS SOON AS POSSIBLE TO:

RECIPIENT

**COMPANY** 

FAX NO.

PHONE NO.

1. Examiner Jennifer

U.S. Patent and Trademark

(571) 273-8300

Liversedge Office – Art Unit 3692

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING THIS PAGE: 13

The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission are intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain information that is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination of the communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone. Thank you.

Ø 002

OCT 2.5 2006

## KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP

LESLIE K. NGUYEN
ASSOCIATE
PHONE 212-715-9334
FAX 212-715-8000
LNGUYENÆKRAMERLEVIN COM

October 25, 2006

VIA FACSIMILE
Examiner Jennifer Liversedge
Art Unit 3692
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Re: Serial No. 09/900,724

Our ref. 099782/1

Dear Examiner Liversedge:

Thank you for taking the time to conduct a telephonic interview with us on October 13, 2006. In response to the Interview Summary transmitted the same day, we enclose the following proposed claim amendments.

Claim 14 has been rewritten to incorporate the claims from which it depends. Claim 15 and 16 depend from claim 14 and have not been amended in view of the proposed amendment to claim 14. We believe claims 14-16 are now allowable as written. As claims 14-16 were found to be allowable if rewritten in independent form and claims 28-33 were found to be allowable at the interview, a Notice of Allowance to that effect is earnestly solicited.

In addition, however, we believe that during the interview, a general understanding of the claimed invention was reached. While not conceding any arguments or positions taken by the PTO in any of the Office Actions to date, we believe that the proposed clarifying amendments to claims 1, 34, 37 and 42 put the remaining claims in condition for allowance. As such, we respectfully submit that claim 1, 34, 37 and 42 and their respective dependent claims are allowable as amended. Accordingly, the present application is condition for allowance. To the extent you believe that further discussion on the issue would be helpful, we would appreciate the opportunity to discuss the proposed claim amendments at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

Leslie K. Nguyen

Enclosure